Annex 3 to the Regulations for conducting mid-term evaluation at the JU Doctoral School in the Humanities

Krakow, ………….

**Report on the implementation of the individual research plan**

Full name of the Doctoral Student:

Doctoral programme:

Scientific discipline:

Subject/title of doctoral dissertation:

Doctoral dissertation supervisor:

Co-supervisor/Assistant supervisor:

Information on the state of implementation of the individual research plan, including limitations in the implementation of the IRP resulting from circumstances beyond the control of the Doctoral Student, a brief description of changes in the implementation of the IRP, the reasons behind them, and the risk in the implementation of the IRP after mid-term evaluation (300-600 words):

The attachments to this document include:

1. A portfolio documenting the progress of the Doctoral Student's research work. The portfolio should include materials that allow to evaluate the Doctoral Student's results in the implementation of the individual research plan to date and may include: a chapters (or two – three chapters) of the doctoral dissertation (and in the case of dissertations in the form of a monographic collection of articles – at least one article with a confirmation of acceptance from a journal included in the ministerial list or from the editor of a joint publication), a (preliminary) database prepared for analysis, documentation of other empirical data collected for analysis as well as other relevant materials proving progress in the implementation of the individual research plan together with the supervisor's opinion on the scientific quality of the results submitted for evaluation by the Doctoral Student.

2. The latest version of the Individual Research Plan approved by the head of the doctoral program along with the list of courses completed and planned for the next years of education at the JU DSH.

*Signature of the Doctoral Student*