

## Annex 4 to the Regulations for conducting mid-term evaluation at the JU Doctoral School in the Humanities

### Minutes of the meeting of the commission for mid-term evaluation

Full name of the Doctoral Student:

Doctoral program:

Education start date at the JU DSH:

Date of mid-term evaluation:

Scientific discipline:

Subject/title of doctoral dissertation:

Doctoral dissertation supervisor:

Co-supervisor/Assistant supervisor:

The meeting of the commission was composed of:

Chairman:

Member:

Member:

appointed by the Director of the JU DSH to conduct the mid-term evaluation referred to in Art. 202 of the Act of July 20, 2018 – The Law on Higher Education and Science.

During the open part of the meeting, the Doctoral Student presented the findings made in the course of the conducted research, which was followed by a discussion.

During the closed part of the meeting, based on:

- the documentation submitted by the Doctoral Student, including a portfolio documenting the progress of research work;
- the presentation given during the open part of the meeting;
- a discussion held during the open part of the meeting

the Commission evaluated the result of the Doctoral Student's work in the following categories:

1	Progress in implementing the IRP – <b>positive/negative</b> Comments: necessary in case of negative evaluation	
---	---	--

2	Progress in the implementation of the education program – <b>positive/negative</b> Comments: necessary in case of negative evaluation	
3	Quality of research work presented in the portfolio – <b>positive/negative</b> Comments: necessary in case of negative evaluation	
4	Presentation and discussion during the open part of the meeting – <b>positive/negative</b> Comments: necessary in case of negative evaluation	

Signatures of the Members of the Commission: